

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/613,165 | 07/03/2003 | James E. Skavnak | 424.155USU1 | 424.155USU1 9163 | |
| 23552 | 7590 06/07/200: | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC | | | NOLAND, KENNETH W | | |
| P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | . | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3653 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/07/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/613,165 | SKAVNAK, JAMES E. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kenneth W Noland | 3653 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2005 and 16 May 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-19 are</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage . | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | and the second s | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Sorensen et al in view of Rakucewizc**. *Sorensen et al discloses both the apparatus and the method of vending products of varied shapes (see the abstract).. Note in figures 13a-13d the use of a front engaging member 116 and the use of a rear engaging member 113.*The front and rear members would act to retain and release first and second items(products) by movement of the members*. Note in column 18, lines 41-45 the 'fast acting' movement of the member 116 (claim 11). To modify Sorenson et al's vending device for another front and rear member positioned on the other side of the product would be obvious not only as a mere choice of duplication of structure to effect improved dispensing of the product by retaining or releasing the produce from both sides, but it would be obvious in view of the teachings of Rakucewize's use of such a pair of front members 20,24 and a pair of rear members 18,22 acting on both sides of the product so as to effect improved control and handling of the dispensing of the product. Sorensen et al discloses in column 11, lines 19-23, that each of the trays 42 supporting the containers have side walls to accommodate containers of up to 3 inches in diameter. It would be obvious that the containers in Sorenson et al's trays or queues would have different diameters, in so much, as the trays could accommodate a range of container diameters up to the 3 inch limit and it would be obvious as a mere choice of

utility to so provide Sorenson et al's trays with the variation of container diameters, as desired, to effect the dispensing of a variety of different diameters containers.

- 3. Claims 1-9,13 and 16-19 are allowed.
- 4. Writtern et al is cited showing in figure 9 the desired use of dispensing a variety of containers.
- 5. Applicant's remarks have been fully considered, however, the use of providing for different sized diameters for the containers(items) would be an obvious choice of utility to so provide Sorenson et al 's tray(queue) with a range of containers up to the 3 inch limit, as indicated in the rejection of paragraph 2 above. As such, the remarks regarding the rejected claims are not deemed persuasive.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,165

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth W Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-6941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday ,each week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETHW. NOLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

DA 1/2008

Page 4